Application No. 10/567,680 Amendment dated June 8, 2007 Reply to Office Action of February 9, 2007

## **REMARKS**

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given to the present application. Claims 5, 6, and 9–19 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his rejections in view of the amendments and remarks as set forth.

### ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner considers the subject matter of claims 12-17, and 19 as being allowable and the subject matter of claims 5 and 9-11 as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Although not conceding appropriateness of the Examiner's rejections, claims 1-4, 7 and 8 have been cancelled and the dependency of claims 6, and 9-11 have been changed to depend from claim 12. Accordingly, all of these claims are now in condition for allowance.

#### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

The Examiner objected to claim 18 since it is apparent that it should depend from claim 12. By way of the present amendment, Applicants have corrected the dependency of this claim to also depend from claim 12. Accordingly, Claims 18 and 19 are likewise allowable.

#### **REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C.§102**

Claims 1-6 and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C.§102 as being anticipated by Murray, et al. (U.S. Patent 4,591,466). Claims 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C.§102 as anticipated by Peterson (U.S. Patent 6,814,524). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

By way of the present amendment, claims 1-4, 7 and 8 have all been cancelled rendering this part of the rejection moot. Claims 5 and 6 have been amended to depend from allowable claim 12. Accordingly, both of these rejections are believed to be overcome.

Reply to Office Action of February 9, 2007

# **REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C.** §103

Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Murray. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 8 has been cancelled rendering this rejection moot.

Docket No.: 5213-0103PUS1

Application No. 10/567,680 Amendment dated June 8, 2007

Reply to Office Action of February 9, 2007

CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks, it is believed that all of the claims are now clearly in

condition for allowance. Accordingly, an early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No.

32,334) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 8, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By

Joe McKinney Muncy

Registration No.: 32,334

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLÄŠ

ROBERT F. GNUSE

Docket No.: 5213-0103PUS1

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

9

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

KM/RFG/amm